

A characterization of quotient algebras of $L^1(G)$

BY DONALD E. RAMIREZ†

University of Virginia

(Received 22 June 1968)

Let G be a locally compact Abelian group; Γ the dual group of G ; $C_0(\Gamma)$ the algebra of continuous functions on Γ which vanish at infinity; $C^B(\Gamma)$ the continuous, bounded functions on Γ ; $M(G)$ the algebra of bounded Borel measures on G ; $L^1(G)$ the algebra of absolutely continuous measures; and $M(G)^\wedge$ the algebra of Fourier-Stieltjes transforms.

Let E denote a compact subset of Γ , and let $A(E)$ be the set of all functions on E which are restrictions to E of functions belonging to $L^1(G)^\wedge$. The norm in $A(E)$ is the quotient norm; i.e.

$$\|f\|_{A(E)} = \inf \{ \|g\|_{L^1(G)} : g \in L^1(G) \text{ and } \hat{g}|_E = f \}.$$

For $f \in C(E)$, let

$$\|f\|_{B(E)} = \sup \left\{ \left| \int_E f d\lambda \right| : \lambda \in M(E) \text{ and } \|\lambda^\wedge\|_\infty \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Let $B(E)$ consist of those functions $f \in C(E)$ for which $\|f\|_{B(E)}$ is finite. Now

$$A(E) \subset B(E) \subset C(E) \text{ and } \|f\|_{C(E)} \leq \|f\|_{B(E)} \leq \|f\|_{A(E)}, \text{ for } f \in A(E).$$

THEOREM 1. *$B(E)$ is a commutative, semi-simple, self-adjoint Banach algebra with unit under point-wise operations.*

Proof. Katznelson and McGehee have noted that $B(E)$ is a Banach space (3). Let $\{f_n\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $B(E)$ and f its limit point in $C(E)$. Let $0 \neq \lambda \in M(E)$, then

$$\left| \int_E (f - f_n) d\lambda \right| \leq \left| \int_E (f - f_m) d\lambda \right| + \|f_n - f_m\|_{B(E)} \|\lambda^\wedge\|_\infty.$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$, and N be such that if $n, m \geq N$, then $\|f_n - f_m\|_{B(E)} < \frac{1}{2}\epsilon$. Pick $m \geq N$ such that $\|f - f_m\|_{C(E)} < \epsilon/(2\|\lambda\|)$. Thus $\|f - f_n\|_{B(E)} < \epsilon$ for all $n \geq N$. Hence f is the limit point of $\{f_n\}$ in $B(E)$.

$B(E)$ is an algebra since for $\lambda \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\left| \int_E fg d\lambda \right|}{\|\lambda^\wedge\|_\infty} &= \frac{\left| \int_E fg d\lambda \right|}{\|(g d\lambda)^\wedge\|_\infty} \frac{\|(g d\lambda)^\wedge\|_\infty}{\|\lambda^\wedge\|_\infty} \leq \|f\|_{B(E)} \frac{\|(g d\lambda)^\wedge\|_\infty}{\|\lambda^\wedge\|_\infty} \\ &\leq \|f\|_{B(E)} \frac{\sup_{\gamma \in \hat{G}} \left| \int \gamma g d\lambda \right|}{\|\lambda^\wedge\|_\infty} \\ &\leq \|f\|_{B(E)} \|g\|_{B(E)} \frac{\sup_{\gamma \in \hat{G}} \|(\gamma d\lambda)^\wedge\|_\infty}{\|\lambda^\wedge\|_\infty} \\ &= \|f\|_{B(E)} \|g\|_{B(E)}. \end{aligned}$$

† This research was supported by NSF contract number GP-8981.

Thus for $f, g \in B(E)$, $\|fg\|_{B(E)} \leq \|f\|_{B(E)}\|g\|_{B(E)}$. Similarly, $B(E)$ is self-adjoint since for $\lambda \neq 0$,

$$\frac{\left| \int \bar{f} d\lambda \right|}{\|\lambda^\wedge\|_\infty} = \frac{\left| \int f d\bar{\lambda} \right|}{\|\lambda^\wedge\|_\infty} = \frac{\left| \int f d\bar{\lambda} \right|}{\|(\bar{\lambda})^\wedge\|_\infty}. \blacksquare$$

It is natural to ask when is $A(E) = B(E)$ and when is $B(E) = C(E)$. If $B(E) = C(E)$, then the closed graph theorem implies that E is a Helson set; i.e. $A(E) = C(E)$. Thus $B(E) = C(E)$ if and only if E is a Helson set. (This was pointed out to the author by C. Dunkl.) If E is a compact subset of Γ which is of uniform positive measure, then $A(E) = B(E)$ (see (7) for a stronger result). Katznelson and McGehee(3) have given examples of compact sets such that $A(E) \neq B(E)$ (see also (8)). In all examples known to the author, $A(E)$ is, however, a closed subspace of $B(E)$ from which it follows that the $A(E)$ and $B(E)$ norms are equivalent on $A(E)$. For such E we characterize $A(E)$ in $C(E)$. Our proof yields a characterization of the $B(E)$ -closure of $A(E)$ in $C(E)$.

We pair $\langle A(E), M(E) \rangle$ by $\langle f, \mu \rangle = \int_E f d\mu$. If $\langle f, \mu \rangle = 0$, for all $\mu \in M(E)$, in particular for point measures, then $f = 0$. If $\langle f, \mu \rangle = 0$ for all $f \in A(E)$, then $\mu = 0$ since $A(E)$ is sup-norm dense in $C(E)$. Let w denote the weak topology on $M(E)$ from this pairing; i.e. $\lambda_\alpha \xrightarrow{\alpha} 0$ in w if and only if $\int_E f d\lambda_\alpha \xrightarrow{\alpha} 0$ for all $f \in A(E)$. Finally, let B_n^\wedge denote the set of $\mu \in M(E)$ such that $\|\mu^\wedge\|_\infty \leq n$.

THEOREM 2. *Let $A(E)$ be a closed subspace of $B(E)$. For $f \in C(E)$, the following are equivalent:*

- (A) $f \in A(E)$.
- (B) $\mu \rightarrow \int_E f d\mu$ is w -continuous on B_n^\wedge .

Proof. We use a technique introduced in (5).

Now B_n^\wedge is a convex circled set in $M(E)$. It is w -bounded since

$$|\langle f, \mu \rangle| \leq \|\mu^\wedge\|_\infty \|f\|_{A(E)}.$$

It is w -closed since the restrictions of the continuous characters to E are in $A(E)$.

Let T denote the topology on $A(E)$ of uniform convergence on the sets B_n^\wedge . Our hypothesis asserts that T is the $B(E)$ -norm topology.

The Grothendeick completeness theorem ((4), p. 149) yields the result since $A(E)$ is $B(E)$ -norm closed. \blacksquare

If $A(E)$ is not a closed subspace of $B(E)$, then the above proof yields a characterization of the $B(E)$ -closure of $A(E)$ in $C(E)$.

Let E be such that the set $M_0(E)$, consisting of $\mu \in M(E)$ such that $\mu \in C_0(G)$, has the property that for $f \in C(E)$ if $\int_E f d\mu = 0$ for all $\mu \in M_0(E)$, then $f = 0$. We call E a Tauberian set. For example, if E is of uniform positive measure, then E is Tauberian.

MAIN THEOREM 3. *Let E be a compact, Tauberian set. Then $A(E) = B(E)$, and for $f \in C(E)$, the following are equivalent:*

(A) $f \in A(E)$.

(C) *If $\mu_n \in M(E)$, $\|\mu_n\|_\infty \leq 1$, and $\mu_n \xrightarrow{n} 0$ pointwise on G , then $\int_E f d\mu_n \xrightarrow{n} 0$.*

Proof. Let $f \in B(E)$. Consider the linear functional on $M_0(E)^\wedge$ defined by $\mu^\wedge \rightarrow \int_E f d\mu$.

Since $f \in B(E)$, the linear functional is sup-norm continuous and has an extension to $C_0(G)$. Thus there is $\lambda \in M(G)$ such that

$$\int_E f d\mu = \int_G \mu^\wedge d\lambda = \int_E \lambda^\wedge d\mu,$$

for all $\mu \in M_0(E)$. Since E is Tauberian, $f = \lambda^\wedge|_E$ and hence $f \in A(E)$.

Assume (A). Thus there is $g \in L^1(G)$ such that $\hat{g}|_E = f$. Thus for $\{\mu_n\}$ as in (C), we have that

$$\int_E f d\mu_n = \int_E \hat{g} d\mu_n = \int_G \hat{g} d\mu_n = \int_G \mu_n^\wedge dg \xrightarrow{n} 0$$

by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem.

Assume (C). If $A(E)$ is separable, then the w topology on B_n^\wedge is metrizable and it follows easily that $\mu \rightarrow \int_E f d\mu$ is w -continuous on B_n^\wedge .

Since we are following our proof from ((5), p. 329), we just outline this proof.

Represent the continuous bounded functions on G , $C^B(G)$, as operators on $C_0(G)$ by $T_f(g) = fg$, $f \in C^B(G)$, $g \in C_0(G)$. Let WO denote the weak operator topology on $C^B(G)$ via this representation and SO the strong operator topology, (2). The SO topology is Buck's 'strict' topology, and it agrees with the compact-open topology on sup-norm bounded sets, ((1), p. 98). Viewing $M(E)$ as a subspace of $C^B(G)$ via the Fourier-Stieltjes transformation induces the WO and SO topologies on $M(E)$. It suffices by Theorem 2, to show that $\mu \rightarrow \int_E f d\mu$ is w -continuous on B_n^\wedge .

Now $\mu_\alpha \xrightarrow{\alpha} 0$ in w if and only if $\int_E f d\mu_\alpha \xrightarrow{\alpha} 0$ for all $f \in A(E)$ if and only if $\int_E \lambda^\wedge d\mu_\alpha \xrightarrow{\alpha} 0$ for all $\lambda \in M(G)$ since E is compact. It follows that the weak topology is equivalent to the WO -topology on B_n^\wedge . Thus we show that $\mu \rightarrow \int_E f d\mu$ is WO -continuous on B_n^\wedge . This is equivalent to showing that $\mu \rightarrow \int_E f d\lambda$ is SO -continuous on B_n^\wedge .

From (C) it follows that $\mu \rightarrow \int_E f d\mu$ is SO -continuous on the sets

$$C_n^\wedge = \{\lambda \in M_0(E) : \|\lambda^\wedge\|_\infty \leq n\}$$

and hence WO -continuous on C_n^\wedge and hence w -continuous on C_n^\wedge . We extend $\mu \rightarrow \int_E f d\mu$ from $M_0(E)$ to $M(E)$, ((4), p. 49). The extended linear functional has the form $\mu \rightarrow \int_E g d\mu$, $g \in A(E)$, and is w -continuous on B_n^\wedge . Since E was chosen to be a Tauberian set, $f = g$. ■

The technique used to characterize $A(E)$ in $C(E)$ can be used to characterize other linear spaces. Let $M_d(G)$ denote the discrete measures on G . We may pair $M_d(G)^\wedge$ and $M(\Gamma)$ by $\langle \mu^\wedge, \lambda \rangle = \int_\Gamma \mu^\wedge d\lambda$. Let τ denote the weak topology on $M(\Gamma)$ from this pairing. Let $B_n = \{\lambda \in M(\Gamma) : \|\lambda\| \leq n\}$. Let $AP(\Gamma)$ denote the algebra of continuous almost periodic functions on Γ .

THEOREM 4. *Let G be a locally compact Abelian group. For $f \in C^B(\Gamma)$ the following are equivalent:*

(A) *f is almost periodic.*

(B) *$\lambda \rightarrow \int_\Gamma f d\lambda$ is τ -continuous on B_n .*

(C) *If $\{\lambda_\alpha\} \subset B_n$ and $\lambda_\alpha^\wedge \xrightarrow{\alpha} 0$ pointwise on G , then $\int_\Gamma f d\lambda_\alpha \xrightarrow{\alpha} 0$.*

Proof. Now B_n is a convex circled set in $M(\Gamma)$. It is τ -bounded since

$$|\langle \mu^\wedge, \lambda \rangle| \leq \|\mu^\wedge\|_\infty \|\lambda\|.$$

It is τ -closed since

$$\sup \left\{ \left| \int_\Gamma \mu^\wedge d\lambda \right| : \mu \in M_d(G), \|\mu^\wedge\|_\infty \leq 1 \right\} = \|\lambda\|.$$

Let T' denote the topology on $M_d(G)^\wedge$ of uniform convergence on the sets B_n . Since $\sup \left\{ \left| \int_\Gamma \mu^\wedge d\lambda \right| : \lambda \in M(\Gamma), \|\lambda\| \leq 1 \right\} = \|\mu^\wedge\|_\infty$, T' is the sup-norm topology.

The Grothendieck completeness theorem yields that (A) is equivalent to (B) since the sup-norm completion of $M_d(G)^\wedge$ in $C^B(\Gamma)$ is $AP(\Gamma)$.

That (B) is equivalent to (C) is immediate. ▀

The previous result is due to R. Edwards ((9), p. 254). His method is based on the bipolar theorem. Finally, we give a simple proof of a known result on almost periodic functions ((10), p. 449).

THEOREM 5. *Let f be a continuous almost periodic function on the locally compact, non-compact, Abelian group Γ . Let K be a compact subset of Γ . Then*

$$\sup \{|f(x)| : x \in K\} \leq \sup \{|f(x)| : x \notin K\}.$$

Proof. Since Γ is non-compact, we let Γ' denote the Bohr compactification of Γ ((6), p. 30). Let $\beta: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma'$ denote the natural continuous isomorphism of Γ into Γ' . Since K is compact and β is continuous, $\beta(K)$ is also compact in Γ' .

Suppose by way of contradiction that

$$\alpha = \sup \{|f(x)| : x \in K\} > \gamma = \sup \{|f(x)| : x \notin K\}.$$

Extend f to a continuous function, f' , on Γ' . Since $\beta(K)$ is closed in Γ' , $|f'(y)| \leq \gamma$ for $y \in \Gamma' \setminus \beta(K)$. Thus K contains an open subset U of Γ . It follows that β is a homeomorphism on U . Since β is a homomorphism, β is a homeomorphism on all of Γ . Thus Γ is compact. This contradiction completes the proof. ▀

REFERENCES

- (1) BUCK, R. C. Bounded continuous functions on a locally compact space. *Michigan Math. J.* **5** (1958), 95–104.
- (2) DUNFORD, N. and SCHWARTZ, J. *Linear Operators Part I*. (Interscience Publishers; New York, 1958).
- (3) KATZNELSON, Y. and MCGEHEE, C. Measures and pseudomeasures on compact subsets of the line (to appear).
- (4) SCHAEFER, H. *Topological vector spaces*. (Macmillan; New York, 1966).
- (5) RAMIREZ, D. Uniform approximation by Fourier–Stieltjes Transforms. *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **64** (1968), 323–333.
- (6) RUDIN, W. *Fourier Analysis on Groups*. (Interscience Publishers; New York, 1962).
- (7) ROSENTHAL, H. A characterization of restrictions of Fourier–Stieltjes transforms. *Pacific J. Math.* **23** (1967), 403–418.
- (8) VAROPOULOS, N. On a problem of a A. Beurling. *J. functional analysis* **2** (1968), 24–30.
- (9) EDWARDS, R. E. Uniform approximation on noncompact spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **122** (1966), 249–276.
- (10) KAUFMAN, R. Transforms of Certain Measures. *Michigan Math. J.* **14** (1967), 449–452.